

Most of the companies that I am aware of have gotten into the wireless business to give their customer base something that the Bells of the country won't. Reliable high speed access in remote areas and/or service for a reasonable cost.

It has been our experience that the ILECS do not want anyone except themselves to succeed in broadband. Why should we pay anything to our competition when they have done their best to try and put us out of business.

The fact that we have worked at getting a system that no longer needs the dominating ILECS is a small part of what is needed to create fair competition. Subsidizing these ILECS takes that fairness out of the equation. The telecommunications act did nothing to equal the playing field. Granted there are several small telcos in the country, but even these telcos have to buy their service from the Big Bells. Where is the fairness in that? Ma Bell is getting her piece of the pie.

Now small ISPs have went out and built a system no longer dependent on the Bells of the world. Fair trade and commerce are starting to emerge and you want us to pay them??

Wake up America!